

|   |                                      |                                      |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/084,089 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>KUBIAK ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Brian R. Gordon   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1743              |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Brian R. Gordon.

(2) Frank R. Cottinham.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner phoned applicant and left a message stating the after final amendment would be entered and reviewed by the examiner.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)